

ALBERT R. GARCIA, JR.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:10-CV-00189-RCJ(WGC)
v.	)	
	)	
JASON GEER, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
Defendants.	)	

No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed.

This Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Further, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), if a party makes a timely objection to the magistrate judge’s recommendation, then this Court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made.”<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the statute does not “require[ ] some lesser review by [this Court] when no objections are filed.” Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). Instead, under the statute, this Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” Id. at 149. Similarly, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate

1


1 judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-  
2 Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court  
3 when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v.  
4 Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-  
5 Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject  
6 of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court  
7 may accept the recommendation without review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting,  
8 without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

9 In this case, there have been no objections filed to the Magistrate Judge's Report and  
10 Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and  
11 Recommendation (#27) and accepts it. Accordingly,

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#19) is  
13 GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: This 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2012.

16  
17   
18 ROBERT C. JONES  
19 Chief District Court Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28